

# Spectral sets in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2qr}$ tile

Gábor Somlai

Eötvös Loránd University, Department of Algebra and Number  
Theory  
zsomlei@caesar.elte.hu

## Abstract

We prove the every spectral set in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2qr}$  tiles, where  $p$ ,  $q$  and  $r$  are different primes, which is a special case of Fuglede's conjecture for cyclic groups.

## 1 Introduction

A Lebesgue measurable set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is called a *tile* if there is set  $T$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , called the *tiling complement* of  $\Omega$  such that almost every element of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can uniquely written as  $\omega + t$ , where  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $t \in T$ . We say that  $\Omega$  is *spectral* if  $L^2(\Omega)$  admits an orthogonal basis consisting of exponential functions of the form  $\{e^{i(\lambda x)} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, x \in \Omega\}$ , where  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . In this case  $\Lambda$  is called a *spectrum* for  $\Omega$ .

Fuglede conjectured [3] that a bounded domain  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  tiles the  $d$ -dimensional Euclidean space if and only if  $S$  is spectral. The conjecture might have been motivated by Fuglede's result [3] that it is true when the tiling complement or the spectrum is a lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Fuglede also showed that a triangle or a circle is not spectral and these objects clearly do not tile the plane. The conjecture was disproved in [14], where Tao considered a discrete version of the original conjecture and constructed spectral sets in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{11}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_3^5$ , which are not tiles. The latter example was lifted to  $\mathbb{R}^5$  to refute the spectral-tile direction of Fuglede's conjecture. Matolcsi [6] proved that the spectral-tile direction of the conjecture fails in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Kolountzakis and Matolcsi [8, 9] and Farkas, Matolcsi and Móra [2] provided counterexamples in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  for both directions of the conjecture. On the other hand a recent result

of Lev and Matolcsi [11] shows that Fuglede’s conjecture holds for convex sets in any dimension.

It is important to note that every (finite) tile of the integers is periodic. Moreover, by a recent result [10] of Lai and Wang Fuglede’s conjecture is true for  $\mathbb{R}$  if and only if it holds for  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which is further equivalent for the conjecture to hold for every finite cyclic group. Thus by proving the following theorem we contribute to the one dimensional version of the original conjecture. Note that Fuglede’s conjecture is open in the 1 and 2 dimensional case.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Every spectral set in the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2qr}$  is a tile.*

The investigation of Fuglede’s conjecture for finite cyclic groups started with a result of Kolountzakis and Malikiosis [12] by proving that the conjecture holds for  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^nq}$ , where  $p^n$  is an arbitrary power of the prime  $p$  and  $q$  is a prime. As a strengthening it was proved in [7] that Fuglede’s conjecture holds for  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^nq^2}$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are prime. Another important result was proved by Shi [13] who showed that Fuglede’s conjecture is true for  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  if  $k$  is the product of 3 (distinct) primes.

The Coven-Meyerowitz conjecture states that if  $n$  is square-free, then every tile of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is complete set of residues  $(\text{mod } k)$ , where  $k$  is a divisor of  $n$ . This was originally settled by Laba and Meyerowitz on Tao’s blog and a self-contained proof of this fact was provided by Shi [13].

Coven and Meyerowitz [1] proved that a subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  tiles if two properties called (T1) and (T2), defined in the next section, are satisfied. The converse also holds if  $n$  has at most two different prime divisors and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the tiles of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  satisfy (T1).

## 2 Fuglede’s conjecture for cyclic groups

Fuglede’s conjecture is still open in the 1 and 2 dimension but we will focus on the one dimensional case which is heavily connected to the discrete version of the conjecture for cyclic groups.

Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . We say that  $S$  is a tile if and only if there is a  $T \subset \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $S + T = \mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $|S||T| = n$ . We say that  $S$  is spectral if and only if the vector space of complex functions on  $S$  is spanned by pairwise orthogonal functions, which are the restrictions of some irreducible representations of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . The irreducible representations of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  are of the following form:

$$\chi_k(x) = e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{n}x},$$

so these are parametrized by the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . It is easy to verify that  $\chi_k$  and  $\chi_l$  are orthogonal if and only if  $\chi_{k-l}$  is orthogonal to the trivial representation, which can also be written as

$$\sum_{s \in S} \chi_{k-l}(s) = 0.$$

One can assign a polynomial  $m_S$  to  $S$  by  $m_S(x) = \sum_{s \in S} x^s$ , which is called the *mask polynomial* of  $S$ . It is easy to see that  $\sum_{s \in S} \chi_k(s) = 0$  if and only if  $\xi_k$  is a root of  $m_S$ , where  $\xi_k$  is a primitive  $k$ 'th root of unity. This can also be said as  $\Phi_k$  divides the mask polynomial  $m_S$  in the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ , where  $\Phi_k$  is the  $k$ 'th cyclotomic polynomial. Note that mask polynomials can also be defined for any element of the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_n]$ .

Now using the character table of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  we have that  $(S, \Lambda)$  is a spectral pair if and only if the submatrix of the character table whose rows are indexed by the elements of  $\Lambda$  and columns with those of  $S$  is a Hadamard matrix. In fact, the transpose of a Hadamard matrix is also a Hadamard matrix so if  $(S, \Lambda)$  is a spectral pair, then  $(\Lambda, S)$  is a spectral pair too.

Now we introduce the properties that are needed to formulate the Coven-Meyerowitz conjecture for any natural number. Let  $H_S$  be the set of prime powers  $p^k$  dividing  $N$  such that  $\Phi_{p^k}(x) \mid S(x)$ .

**(T1)**  $m_S(1) = \prod_{d \in H_S} \Phi_d(1)$ ,

**(T2)** for pairwise relative prime elements  $q_i$  of  $H_S$ , we have  $\Phi_{\prod q_i} \mid m_S(x)$ .

We remind that if (T1) and (T2) hold for some  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_n$ , then  $S$  is a tile and if  $S$  is a tile, then (T1) holds. Further we mention that Laba proved that a set having (T1) and (T2) properties also is a spectral set.

### 3 Preliminary lemmas

For the sake of simplicity let  $n = p^2qr$ . First, we collect the results obtained in [7] that applies in our case. We first note that in our case for every subgroup or factor group of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  we have that spectral sets coincide with tiles. The results of Section 4 of [7] were summarized in a statement called Reduction 1 but it is important to note that  $n$  have more than 2 different prime divisors so we only have the following:

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let us assume that  $(S, \Lambda)$  is a spectral pair for an abelian group whose subgroups and factor groups satisfies the spectral-tile direction of Fuglede's conjecture. Then we may assume  $0 \in S$ ,  $0 \in \Lambda$ . Further  $S$  is a tile if one of the following holds.*

(a)  $S$  or  $\Lambda$  does not generate  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

(b)  $S$  can be written as the union of  $\mathbb{Z}_u$ -cosets, where  $u$  is a prime dividing  $n$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $0 \in T \subset \mathbb{Z}_N$  a generating set and assume  $x$  and  $y$  are different prime divisor of  $N$ . Then there are elements  $t_1 \neq t_2$  of  $T$  such that  $x \nmid t_1 - t_2$  and  $y \nmid t_1 - t_2$  for any pair of prime divisors of  $N$ .*

*Proof.*  $T$  is not contained in any proper coset of  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  so it contain  $t_1$ , which is not divisible by  $x$  and  $t_2$  not divisible by  $y$ . If  $y \nmid t_1$ , then  $t_1 - 0 \in (T - T)$  is not divisible by either  $x$  or  $y$ , when we are done so we may assume  $y \mid t_1$ . Similar argument shows that we may assume  $x \mid t_2$ . Then  $x \nmid t_1 - t_2$  and  $y \nmid t_1 - t_2$ , as required. ■

Another important tool is Proposition . This is the consequence of the following lemma also found in [7].

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $T$  be a multiset in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , where  $n$  is square-free. Assume  $\Phi_n \mid m_T$ . Then  $T$  can be written as the weighted sum of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ -cosets, where  $p_i$  are the prime divisors of  $n$ . Note that if  $n$  the product of two different primes then the weights can be chosen to be nonnegative.*

This is the same as Proposition 3.4 in [7] formulated in a bit different language. Let  $m$  be a square-free integer, where  $m$  is the product of  $d$  primes. Then  $\mathbb{Z}_m \cong \prod \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$  a direct sum of  $d$  cyclic groups of prime order so the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  are encoded by  $d$ -tuples. This allows us to introduce Hamming distance of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ . Further we say that  $P$  is a cuboid in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  if it can be written as  $\prod_{i=1}^d H_i$ , where  $H_i \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$  with  $|H_i| = 2$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $w$  be an element of the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_m]$  with non-negative coefficients, where  $m = \prod_{i=1}^d p_i$ , a product of  $d$  different primes. Assume  $\Phi_m \mid m_w$ , where  $m_w$  is the mask polynomial of  $w$ . Let  $P$  be a  $d$ -dimensional cuboid and  $p$  a vertex of  $P$ . Then*

$$\sum_{c \in P} (-1)^{d_H(p,c)} w(c) = 0. \quad (1)$$

We will refer to the previous proposition or more precisely to equation (1) as the  $d$ -dimensional cube-rule. Note that this lemma is a corollary of the fact that if  $\omega$  is above then  $w$  can be written as the weighted sum of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ -cosets with rational coefficients, see Corollary 3.4 in [7].

It is also important to know what happens if  $\omega$  is a multiset on  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ , with  $\Phi_m \mid m_\omega$ , where  $m$  is not square free. This case is also described in [7]. Let  $m'$  be the square free radical of  $m$ . Then  $\omega$  is the weighted sum of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ -cosets with rational coefficients again, implying that the cube-rule holds for the restriction of  $\omega$  to each  $\mathbb{Z}_{m'}$ -coset.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $T \subset \mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$ . Assume  $\Phi_{pqr} \mid m_T$  and  $T \cap ((t + \mathbb{Z}_q) \cup (t + \mathbb{Z}_r)) = \{t\}$  for all  $t \in T$ . Then  $T$  is a union of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets.*

Note that the statements holds verbatim for any permutation of the primes  $p, q, r$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\Phi_{pqr} \mid m_T$ , then  $T$  satisfies the 3 dimensional cube-rule. Suppose  $t \in T$ . By our assumption  $T \cap (t + \mathbb{Z}_q) = \{t\}$  and  $T \cap (t + \mathbb{Z}_r) = \{t\}$ .

By the way of contradiction, assume  $T$  is not a union of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets so there is a  $t_p \in (t + \mathbb{Z}_p) \setminus T$ . Then for every cuboid containing  $t$  and  $t_p$  as its vertices, the neighbours (consider the cube as the natural graph on 8 vertices) of  $t$  are not in  $T$ . Now using the cube-rule we obtain that the vertex of the cube, which is of Hamming distance 3 from  $t$  is contained in  $T$ . Then for every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$  with  $p \mid x - t_p$  and  $d_H(x, t) = 3$  we have  $x \in T$ . Then there are elements of  $T$  whose difference is divisible by  $pr$  if  $q > 2$  and the same holds with  $pq$  if  $r > 2$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $T \cap ((x + \mathbb{Z}_q) \cup (x + \mathbb{Z}_r)) = \{x\}$ . ■

Now we prove a Lemma that have will have applications during the proof of our main result.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $T \subset \mathbb{Z}_N$ , where  $N$  is a positive integer and let  $m$  and  $r$  are divisors of  $N$  with  $(m, r) = 1$ . Assume further that for every  $1 \neq d \mid m$  we have that for every  $\phi_d \mid m_T$  or  $\phi_{dr} \mid m_T$ . Then  $T_m = c\mathbb{Z}_m + rD$ , where  $c \geq 0$  an integer and  $D$  is a multiset on  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(d, r) = 1$  we obtain  $\Phi_{dr}(x) = \Phi_d(x^r)$ . This identity can be written as  $\Phi_{dr}(x) = \Phi_d(x)^r$  in the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}_r[x]$ . Now  $\Phi_{dr}(x) \mid m_T(x)$  implies  $\Phi_d(x) \mid m_T(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_r[x]$ . These cyclotomic polynomials are pairwise relatively primes  $\mathbb{Z}_r[x]$  as well so we obtain  $\prod_{d \mid m} \Phi_d \mid m_T$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_r[x]$ . This implies that  $m_T \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{x^m - 1}{x - 1}}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_r[x]$ . Now let  $T_m$  the multiset obtained from  $T$  by the natural projection to  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  and let  $c = \min_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_m} t(x)$ . Then the claim follows from  $m_T \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{x^m - 1}{x - 1}}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_r[x]$ . ■

Let  $n$  be a fixed natural number. For a divisor of  $n$  we write  $a \parallel b$  if  $a$  is the greatest common divisor of  $n$  and  $b$ .

## 4 Proof of the main result

Let  $(S, \Lambda)$  be a spectral pair. We will distinguish certain different cases by the cardinality of  $S$ , which equals to those of  $\Lambda$ .

### 4.1 $|S|$ have 3 prime divisors

In this case we assume that  $|S|$  is divisible by three of the primes  $p, q, r$  counted with multiplicity. Thus the cases handled here are  $p^2q \parallel |S|$ ,  $p^2r \parallel |S|$  and  $pqr \parallel |S|$ .

**Assume**  $p^2q \parallel |S|$ . Project  $S$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q}$ . If two elements of  $S$  project to the same element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q}$ , then we have a pair of elements of  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  with  $p^2q \parallel s_1 - s_2$ . Thus we have  $\Phi_r \mid m_\Lambda$ , which implies  $r \mid |\Lambda| = |S|$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|S| = p^2q$  and  $S$  is a complete set of residues  $(\text{mod } p^2q)$  so is a tile. The same argument works if  $p^2r \parallel |S|$ .

**Let us assume now** that  $pqr \parallel |S|$ . If a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -coset contains at least  $p+1$  elements of  $S$ , then  $S$  contains a pair of elements contained in the same  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -coset and another pair of elements contained in the same  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -coset but in different  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets. These imply  $\Phi_p \mid m_\Lambda$  and  $\Phi_{p^2} \mid m_\Lambda$  so  $p^2 \mid |\Lambda| = |S|$ , a contradiction.

It remains to investigate the case when each  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -coset contains exactly  $p$  elements of  $S$ , which gives  $|S| = pqr$ . Moreover by excluding  $\Phi_p \Phi_{p^2} \mid m_\Lambda$  we obtain that the intersection of  $S$  with each  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -coset is either a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -coset or the intersection with each coset is a complete coset representative of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for every  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -coset. In both of these cases  $S$  is a tile.

Note that these arguments also work if  $|S| > p^2 \min\{q, r\}$  or  $|S| > pqr$  so from now on we assume  $|S| < \min\{p^2q, p^2r, pqr\}$ .

### 4.2 $|S|$ has two prime divisors among $p, q, r$

Now we handle the cases when  $p^2 \parallel |S|$ ,  $pq \parallel |S|$ ,  $pr \parallel |S|$  and  $qr \parallel |S|$ .

**Case 1.** Let us assume first that  $p^2 \parallel |S|$ .

If every  $\mathbb{Z}_{qr}$ -coset contains exactly one element of  $S$ , then  $S$  is a tile. Thus we may assume there are  $s_1 \neq s_2 \in S$  with  $p^2 \mid s_1 - s_2$ . If  $p^2q \parallel s_1 - s_2$  or  $p^2r \parallel s_1 - s_2$ , then  $r \mid |S|$  or  $q \mid |S|$ , respectively. Both of these cases contradict  $p^2 \parallel |S|$ . Thus we have  $p^2 \parallel s_1 - s_2$  so  $\Phi_{qr} \mid m_\Lambda$ .

Assume first that  $\Phi_n \mid m_S$ . Then we may apply the cube-rule on every  $\mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$ -coset. By Lemma 3.5 we obtain that  $S$  is the union of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets, which case is handled in Proposition 3.1 (b). Thus we may assume  $\Phi_n \nmid m_S$ .

For  $k \mid n$  let us denote the image of  $\Lambda$  and  $T$  via the natural projection to  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  by  $\Lambda_k$  and  $T_k$ , respectively. We may consider  $\Lambda_k$  as a set or a multiset i.e. an element of  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_k]$  with nonnegative coefficients. It follows from  $\Phi_{qr} \mid m_\Lambda$  that  $\Lambda_{qr}$  is the weighted sum of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -cosets with nonnegative weights by Lemma 3.3. Both type of cosets appear since otherwise we would have  $q \mid |S|$  or  $r \mid |S|$ , a contradiction.

Assume  $r > q$  (the case when  $q > r$  can be handled similarly). Clearly  $r \geq 3$ . Since both  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -cosets appear in the decomposition of  $\Lambda_{qr}$  and  $r \geq 3$  we have that there are  $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$  with  $q \mid \lambda - \lambda'$  but  $r \nmid \lambda - \lambda'$  and there is  $\lambda'' \in \Lambda$ , whose  $q$  and  $r$  coordinates differ from those of  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$ . By this we mean  $q \nmid \lambda - \lambda''$ ,  $q \nmid \lambda' - \lambda''$ ,  $r \nmid \lambda - \lambda''$  and  $r \nmid \lambda' - \lambda''$ . Then since  $\Phi_n \nmid m_S$  we have  $p \mid \lambda - \lambda''$  and  $p \mid \lambda' - \lambda''$  so we have  $pq \mid \lambda - \lambda'$  and  $r \nmid \lambda - \lambda'$ . Thus we either have  $\Phi_r \mid m_S$ , which is excluded since  $r \nmid |S|$  or we have  $\Phi_{pr} \mid m_S$ .

We may define a graph  $\Gamma$  whose vertices are the elements of  $\Lambda$  and two vertices are adjacent if and only if both the  $q$  and  $r$ -coordinates of the vertices are different. If  $\Gamma$  is connected, then we have  $\Lambda$  is contained in a  $\mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$ -coset, which is excluded by Proposition 3.1. Then since the multiset  $\Lambda_{qr}$  is the sum of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -cosets and both types appear, we can only have that  $\Lambda_{qr}$  as a set is the union of a  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -coset  $Q$  and a  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -coset  $R$ , otherwise  $\Gamma$  is connected. In this case,  $\Gamma$  has a large connected component consisting of those elements of  $\Lambda$  which do not project to the intersection of  $Q$  and  $R$  denoted by  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{qr}$ . Note that the number of elements of  $\Lambda$  projecting to  $x$  is less than  $\frac{|\Lambda|}{2}$ .

We claim that  $\Phi_n \nmid m_\Lambda$ . By the way of contradiction let us assume  $\Phi_n \mid m_\Lambda$ . Then using the same argument when we excluded  $\Phi_n \mid m_S$  we have that  $\Lambda$  is the union of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets. But then  $(\Lambda, S)$  is a spectral pair and by Proposition 3.1 we have that  $\Lambda$  is a tile whence  $|\Lambda| = p^2$ . Theorem B1 in [1] shows that (T1) holds for  $\Lambda$ . Further it is clear from (T1) and our condition  $p^2 \parallel |S|$  that  $|\Lambda| = |S| = p^2$ . Thus it follows from (T1) that  $\Phi_p \Phi_{p^2} \mid m_\Lambda$ . Since  $\Phi_p \Phi_{p^2} = 1 + x + \dots + x^{p^2-1}$  and  $|\Lambda| = p^2$  we have  $\Lambda$  is a complete set of coset representatives of  $\mathbb{Z}_{qr}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . This contradicts the fact that all but the elements of  $\Lambda$  projecting to  $u$  give the same remainder (mod  $p$ ).

Thus we have that there is  $\mu$  in  $\Lambda$  projecting to  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{qr}$  with  $p \nmid \mu - \lambda$  and  $p \nmid \mu - \lambda''$ , where  $\lambda$  projects to  $R \setminus \{u\}$  and  $\lambda''$  to  $Q \setminus \{u\}$ . Thus we obtain  $\Phi_{p^2q} \mid m_S$  and  $\Phi_{p^2r} \mid m_S$  since  $\Phi_n \nmid m_S$ .

We claim that  $p > r$ . Otherwise there are more than  $p$  elements of  $\Lambda$ , projecting to mutually different points of  $R \setminus \{u\}$ . Their difference is divisible

by  $p$  since they are in the same connected component of  $\Gamma$  but then there would be two of them whose difference is divisible by  $p^2$  as well, implying  $\Phi_r \mid m_S$  and  $r \mid |S|$ , a contradiction. Similar argument shows that we may assume  $p > q$ .

We remind that  $\Lambda_{qr}$  can be written as the sum of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -cosets, moreover it is written as  $kQ + lR$ , where  $k$  and  $l$  are natural numbers and  $Q$  and  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -coset in  $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ , respectively. A simple calculation shows that the number of elements  $m$  of  $\Lambda$  projecting to  $u$  exceeds  $p$ . This follows from  $p^2 \leq kq + lr$  and  $m = k + l$  since  $p > q, r$ . Thus there are elements  $\lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5$  of  $\Lambda$ , all projecting to  $u$ , with  $qr \parallel \lambda_3 - \lambda_4$  and  $pqr \parallel \lambda_3 - \lambda_5$ . This implies  $\Phi_p \Phi_{p^2} \mid m_S$ .

We remind that  $\phi_{pr} \mid m_S$ . The fact that  $\Phi_p \Phi_{p^2} \mid m_S$  implies that every  $\mathbb{Z}_{qr}$ -coset contains the same amount of elements of  $S$  since  $\Phi_p \Phi_{p^2} = \sum_{i=0}^{p^2-1} x^i$ . Denote this number by  $a$ . If  $a = 1$ , then  $S$  is a tile so we assume  $a \geq 2$ . We have already assumed  $|S| < p^2 q$  so each  $\mathbb{Z}_{qr}$  contains at most  $q - 1$  elements of  $S$ . Now project  $S$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2 r}$ . Again, the image of  $S$ , which is a multiset is denoted by  $S_{p^2 r}$ . This is a set since otherwise  $\Phi_q \mid m_\Lambda$ , contradicting the fact that  $q \nmid |\Lambda| = |S|$ . Then each  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -coset in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2 r}$  contains  $2 \leq a < q$  elements of  $S_{p^2 r}$ . By Lemma 3.2 we have  $\Phi_{p^2 r} \mid m_S$  or  $\Phi_n \mid m_S$ , but the latter is excluded. Using  $\Phi_{p^2 r} \mid m_S$  we have that the intersection of  $S_{p^2 r}$  with each  $\mathbb{Z}_{pr}$ -coset is the union of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets or  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -cosets. Since  $a < q < r$  it is the union of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets only.

Then we build up a graph  $\Gamma'$  whose vertices are the elements of  $\Lambda$  and two vertices are adjacent if and only if both their difference is not divisible by either  $p$  or  $r$ . It follows from the previous observations using  $p \geq 3$  that  $\Gamma'$  is connected. Then since  $\Phi_n \nmid m_\Lambda$  we have that the difference of any two of these elements of  $\Lambda$  is divisible by  $q$  so they are all contained in a proper coset of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Thus by Proposition 3.1 we have that  $S$  is a tile.

**Case 2.** Assume  $pq \parallel |S|$ . We may exclude the case, when  $S$  is complete set of residues  $(\text{mod } pq)$ , which is the same  $S$  contains exactly one element from each  $\mathbb{Z}_{pr}$ -coset since  $S$  is a tile in this case. Then it is clear, that there are elements of  $S$  projecting to the same element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ . Then we either have  $\Phi_{pr} \mid m_\Lambda$  or  $\Phi_p \mid m_\Lambda$  or  $\Phi_r \mid m_\Lambda$ . The latter case is impossible since  $r \nmid |S|$ .

Now we project  $S$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2 q}$ . The projection  $S_{p^2 q}$  is a set, otherwise  $\Phi_r \mid m_\Lambda$  and thus  $r \mid |S|$ , a contradiction. Then if there is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -coset of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2 q}$  containing more than  $p$  elements of  $S_{p^2 q}$ , then there are two of them, whose difference is not divisible by  $p$ , implying  $\Phi_{p^2} \mid m_\Lambda$  or  $\Phi_{p^2 r} \mid m_\Lambda$ . If every  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -coset of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2 q}$  contains exactly  $p$  elements of  $S_{p^2 q}$  and for each  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -coset



all of these elements are contained in the same  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -coset, then we have that  $S$  is a tile. Thus we may assume  $\Phi_{p^2} \mid m_\Lambda$  or  $\Phi_{p^2r} \mid m_\Lambda$ .

Applying Lemma 3.6 using the conditions that  $\Phi_p \mid m_\Lambda$  or  $\Phi_{pr} \mid m_\Lambda$  and  $\Phi_{p^2} \mid m_\Lambda$  or  $\Phi_{p^2r} \mid m_\Lambda$  we obtain that the projection of  $\Lambda$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  is of the following form:

$$\Lambda_{p^2} = c\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} + rD, \quad (2)$$

where  $c$  is a nonnegative constant and  $D$  is a multiset on  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ . If  $c = 0$ , then  $r \mid |\Lambda|$  and if  $D = 0$ , then  $p^2 \mid |\Lambda|$ . Both cases contradict our assumption that  $pq \parallel |S| = |\Lambda|$ . Thus we may find at least  $r+1$  elements of  $\Lambda$  projecting to the same element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ . If  $q < r$ , then there are two of them, whose difference is divisible by  $q$  as well, implying  $\Phi_r \mid m_S$ , a contradiction. Thus we may assume  $r > q$  and by the same argument we obtain  $\Phi_q \mid m_S$ .

Assume  $\Phi_{p^2r} \mid m_\Lambda$ . Then  $\Lambda_{p^2r}$  is a multiset, which is the sum of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets and  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -cosets. Since  $c > 0$  and  $D \neq 0$ , there is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{pr}$ -coset of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2r}$ , whose intersection with the multiset  $\Lambda_{p^2r}$  is the sum of  $k$   $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets and  $l$   $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -coset with  $k+l \geq 2$ .

Now we argue that  $\Lambda_{p^2r}$  as a set contains a  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -coset. Assume this is not the case, thus we can write  $\Lambda_{p^2r}$  as the sum of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets. Then the number of elements of  $\Lambda_{p^2r}$  contained in each  $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ -coset is divisible by  $p$ . If  $\Phi_p \mid m_\Lambda$ , then these numbers are the same so we would have  $p^2 \mid m_\Lambda$ , a contradiction. On the other hand if  $\Phi_{pr} \mid m_\Lambda$ , then  $\Lambda_{pr}$  is the sum of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -cosets. If  $\Lambda_{pr}$  contains a  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -coset, then  $\Lambda_{p^2r}$  contains a  $\mathbb{Z}_{pr}$ -coset since it is the union of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets so  $\Lambda_{p^2r}$  contains a  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -coset as required. If  $\Lambda_{pr}$  is the sum of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets only, then we again have  $p^2 \mid |\Lambda|$ , a contradiction.

Since  $c > 0$  in equation (2) and since we have a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2r}$ -coset containing a  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -coset, which is also contained in  $\Lambda_{p^2r}$ , we have two elements  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda_{p^2r}$  with  $pr \parallel \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ . It is not hard to see from the description of  $\Lambda$  in this case that for every  $d \mid p^2r$  we have  $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda_{p^2r}$  with  $d \parallel \lambda - \lambda'$ . Then we have  $\Phi_p \Phi_r \Phi_{pr} \Phi_{p^2} \Phi_{p^2r} \mid m_S$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_q[x]$  so by projecting  $S$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2r}$  we obtain a multiset of the form  $c'\mathbb{Z}_{p^2r} + qD'$  ( $c, D \geq 0$ ). If  $c' = 0$ , then  $S$  is spectral set, which is the union of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -cosets, hence a tile. If  $c' > 0$ , then  $|S| > p^2r$ , which we have already handled.

We have already seen that  $\Phi_{p^2} \mid m_\Lambda$  or  $\Phi_{p^2r} \mid m_\Lambda$ , and  $\Phi_p \mid m_\Lambda$  or  $\Phi_{pr} \mid m_\Lambda$ . We have already handled the case  $\Phi_{p^2r} \mid m_\Lambda$  so may assume  $\Phi_{p^2} \mid m_\Lambda$ . Then since  $p^2 \nmid |S|$  we must have  $\Phi_p \nmid m_\Lambda$  so we have  $\Phi_{pr} \mid m_\Lambda$ . We remind that we have already seen that  $\Phi_q \mid m_S$  so  $S$  is equidistributed among the  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2r}$ -cosets since  $\Phi_q = \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} x^i$ . Now we apply this to obtain information about the structure of  $S$ .

We investigate the intersection of  $S$  with each  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2r}$ -cosets. Assume

$s_1, s_2 \in S$  are contained in a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2r}$ -coset but are not contained in a  $\mathbb{Z}_{pr}$ -coset. If their difference is not divisible by  $r$ , then we would have  $\Phi_{p^2r} \mid m_\Lambda$ , which we have excluded above. Similarly, if  $s_3 \neq s_4 \in S$  are contained in a  $\mathbb{Z}_{pr}$ -coset, then their difference cannot be divisible by  $r$ , otherwise we would have  $\Phi_p \mid m_\Lambda$ . Further,  $s_3 - s_4$  is not divisible by  $p^2$  since we would have  $\Phi_r \mid m_\Lambda$ , which is impossible since  $r \nmid |\Lambda| = |S|$ . Each  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2r}$ -coset contains the same amount of elements of  $S$ , which is then at least  $p$  since  $|S| \geq pq$  and the previous argument shows that each  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2r}$ -coset contains exactly  $p$  elements of  $S$  and either they lie in different  $\mathbb{Z}_{pr}$ -cosets or they are all contained in one  $\mathbb{Z}_{pr}$ -coset with  $p^2$  not dividing their differences. It is easy to see that if for each  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2r}$ -coset only one of the two types appear, then  $S$  is a tile.

Now we argue that  $\Phi_{p^2q} \mid m_S$  or  $\Phi_n \mid m_S$ . By Proposition 3.1 we may assume  $0 \in \Lambda$  and that  $\Lambda$  is not contained in a proper subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2qr}$ . Then our claim follows from Lemma 3.2.

Assume first that  $\Phi_{p^2q} \mid m_S$ . Then it follows that  $S$  satisfies the 3-dimensional cube-rule on each  $\mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$ -coset. Since  $r \nmid |S| = |\Lambda|$  we have  $S_{p^2q}$  is a set. Then  $S_{p^2q}$  is the union of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -cosets by Lemma 3.3. Since there is at least one  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2r}$ -coset such that each of its  $\mathbb{Z}_{pr}$ -cosets contains exactly one element of  $S$  we have that  $S_{p^2q}$  is the union of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -cosets all contained in different  $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ -cosets. This contradicts the existence of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2r}$ -cosets of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , which contains a  $\mathbb{Z}_{pr}$ -coset containing exactly  $p$  elements of  $S$  (any pair of different these elements have different  $r$ -coordinate).

Assume now that  $\Phi_n \mid m_S$ . It is clear from our previous discussion that there are  $x, y$  (not necessarily in  $S$ ) with  $p \mid x - y$  and  $q \nmid x - y$ <sup>1</sup> such that  $\mathbb{Z}_{pr} + x$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{pr} + y$  contains 1 and  $p$  elements of  $S$ , respectively. We remind that if  $\mathbb{Z}_{pr}$ -coset contains  $p$  elements of  $S$ , then the difference of any two of them which are different, is not divisible by either  $p^2$  or with  $r$ . This also implies  $r > p$  so  $r \geq 3$ .

Let  $u$  be the unique element of  $S$  contained in  $x + \mathbb{Z}_{pr}$ . There is a unique element  $v \in S \cap (y + \mathbb{Z}_{pr})$  with  $p^2 \mid u - v$ . It is clear that  $u$  and  $v$  are contained in  $D$ , a unique  $\mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$ -coset of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Since  $\Phi_n \mid m_S$ , the 3-dimensional cube-rule holds for  $S \cap D$ . If  $d_H(u, v) = 2$ , then we take a 3-dimensional cube  $C$  contained in  $D$ , where  $u$  and  $v$  as vertices of  $C$ . It is clear from the description of the intersection of  $S$  with  $(x + \mathbb{Z}_{pr}) \cup (y + \mathbb{Z}_{pr})$  that  $C$  contains at most one element of  $S \setminus \{u, v\}$ , which contradicts the fact that  $S$  satisfies the 3-dimensional cube-rule on each  $\mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$ -coset. If  $d_H(u, v) = 1$ , then it is not hard to find a 3-dimensional cube in  $D$  not containing  $u$  and  $v$ ,

---

<sup>1</sup>This means they are in different  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2r}$ -coset

which further contains exactly one element of  $S$ , contradicting again that the 3-dimensional cube rule holds for the intersection of  $S$  with each  $\mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$ -coset.

A similar argument works if  $pr \parallel |S|$  since the role of  $q$  and  $r$  is symmetric.

**Case 3.** Let us assume that  $qr \parallel |S|$ .

Then either  $S$  is a complete set of residues  $(\bmod qr)$ , whence  $S$  is a tile or there are two different elements of  $S$ , whose difference is divisible by  $qr$ . This would imply  $\Phi_p \mid m_\Lambda$  or  $\Phi_{p^2} \mid m_\Lambda$ . In both of these cases we have  $p \mid |\Lambda| = |S|$ , a contradiction.

### 4.3 $|S|$ has at most one prime divisors among $p, q, r$

Let us assume  $1 \parallel |S|$  or  $p \parallel |S|$  or  $q \parallel |S|$  or  $r \parallel |S|$ .

If  $\Phi_n \mid m_S$ , then the intersection of  $S$  with each  $\mathbb{Z}_{pqr}$ -coset satisfies the 3-dimensional cube-rule. Then  $S$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.5 if  $1 \parallel |S|$  and if  $|S| = p$  or  $|S| = q$  or  $|S| = r$ . Then in each of these cases  $S$  can be written as the union of the cosets of a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  of prime order, in which case  $S$  is a tile by Proposition 3.1.

A similar argument works for if we change the role of  $S$  and  $\Lambda$ . So if  $\Phi_n \mid m_\Lambda$ , then  $\Lambda$  is the union of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -coset,  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -cosets or  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ -cosets. Then since  $(\Lambda, S)$  is also a spectral pair we have by Proposition 3.1 that  $\Lambda$  is a tile. Then  $|\Lambda| = |S| \mid n$  so we have that  $|S| = |\Lambda| = p$  or  $|S| = |\Lambda| = q$  or  $|S| = |\Lambda| = r$ . Thus  $\Lambda$  is just a  $\mathbb{Z}_{|\Lambda|}$ -coset, which implies that  $\Phi_{|\Lambda|} \mid m_S$ . Then it is easy to see that (T1) and (T2) are satisfied for  $S$  so it is a tile. Thus we may assume  $\Phi_n \nmid m_S$  and  $\Phi_n \nmid m_\Lambda$ .

Again, by combining Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 we obtain  $\Phi_{p^2q} \mid m_S$  since  $\Phi_n \nmid m_S$ .

Without loss of generality we may assume  $r \nmid |S|$  since the role of  $q$  and  $r$  are symmetric. Then  $S_{p^2q}$  is a set so it is the disjoint union of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets and  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -cosets. By Proposition 3.1 we have that  $\langle S \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_n$  so  $\langle S_{p^2q} \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2q}$ . Then it follows that at least two  $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ -cosets of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q}$  contain elements of  $S_{p^2q}$ . Note that the same argument works for  $\Lambda_{p^2q}$  as well.

Assume there is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ -cosets in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q}$ , whose intersection with  $S_{p^2q}$  contains a  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -coset and another  $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ -coset containing a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -coset also contained in  $S_{p^2q}$ . Then for every  $1 \neq d \mid p^2q$  there are  $s_{d,1}, s_{d,2} \in S$  such that  $d \parallel \pi_{p^2q}(s_{d,1}) - \pi_{p^2q}(s_{d,2})$ , where  $\pi_{p^2q}$  is the natural projection of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q}$ . Hence  $\Phi_d \mid m_\Lambda$  or  $\Phi_{dr} \mid m_\Lambda$  for every  $1 \neq d \mid p^2q$ . Thus by Lemma 3.6 we have that  $\Lambda = c\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q} + rD$ . If  $c > 0$ , then  $|S| \geq p^2q$  and if  $c = 0$ , then  $r \mid |S|$ . Both cases have already been excluded. Again, the same argument works for  $\Lambda$ .

Assume now that the intersection of  $S_{p^2q}$  with each  $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ -coset is the union of (possibly 0)  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -cosets and this intersection is nonempty for at least two cosets of  $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ . Then it follows from  $\Phi_n \nmid m_\Lambda$  that if  $x, y \in S$ , whose natural projections to  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q}$  are not contained in a proper coset of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q}$ , then their difference is divisible by  $r$ . If  $q > 2$ , then it follows that the difference of any two elements of  $S$  is divisible by  $r$  so it is contained in a proper coset of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Proposition 3.1 gives that  $S$  is a tile in this case.

If  $q = 2$  but there are more than two  $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ -cosets containing elements of  $S_{p^2q}$ , then we build up a graph  $\Gamma$  having vertex set  $S$  and two vertices are adjacent if and only if their difference is not divisible by either  $p$  or  $q$ . Again, the difference of two adjacent vertices is divisible by  $r$ . It is not hard to verify that  $\Gamma$  is connected and then  $S$  is contained in a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q}$ -coset of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  so it is a tile.

If there is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ -coset in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q}$ , whose intersection with  $S_{p^2q}$  contains at least two  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -cosets and another one which contains at least one  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -coset, then again we have that for every  $1 \neq d \mid p^2q$  there are elements  $s_1, s_2$  of  $S_{p^2q}$  such that  $d \parallel s_1 - s_2$ , which case has already been handled above.

The  $|S| = 4$  case follows from a theorem of Kolountzakis and Matolcsi [4], which says that spectral sets of cardinality at most 5 in finite abelian groups are tiles.

Thus it remains that  $S_{p^2q}$  is the union of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets only. We also have that  $S_{p^2q}$  generates  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q}$  so it is not contained in either a  $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ -coset or a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -coset of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2q}$ . For every  $s \in S_{p^2q}$  the unique element of  $S$  projecting to  $s$  is denoted by  $\bar{s}$ . Assume that for every  $x \in S_{p^2q}$  there is an  $y \in S_{p^2q}$  such that  $p \nmid x - y$  and  $q \nmid x - y$ . Then for every  $x' \in x + \mathbb{Z}_p \subset S$ , we have  $p \nmid x' - y$  and  $q \nmid x' - y$ . Since  $\Phi_n \nmid m_\Lambda$  we have that  $r \mid \bar{x} - \bar{y}$  and  $r \mid \bar{x}' - \bar{y}$ . The same holds for every element of  $x + \mathbb{Z}_p$  so  $\{\overline{x + u} : u \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -coset of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Then  $S$  is the union of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets, which is handled by Proposition 3.1.

If there is a  $x \in S_{p^2q}$  such that  $p \mid x - y$  or  $q \mid x - y$  for every  $y \in S_{p^2q}$ , then  $S_{p^2q}$  is contained in  $(x + \mathbb{Z}_{pq}) \cup (x + \mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ . Since  $S_{p^2q}$  is not contained in any of these two cosets appearing in the union and since  $S_{p^2q}$  is a union of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cosets, we again have that for every  $d \mid p^2q$  there are  $x, y \in S_{p^2q}$  with  $d \parallel x - y$ , which case has already been settled, finishing the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## Acknowledgement

I am grateful for Gergely Kiss, Romanos Diogenes Malikiosis and Máté Vizer. Many of the ideas appearing in the manuscript come up during fruit-

ful discussions aiming to prove other results concerning Fuglede's conjecture or in our common paper [7]. For example I learned a version of Lemma 3.6 from Romanos Diogenes Malikiosis and an earlier version of Lemma 3.5 was worked out by Gergely Kiss and Máté Vizer.

## References

- [1] E. M. Coven, A. Meyerowitz. Tiling the integers with translates of one finite set. *Journal of Algebra*, **212**(1), 161–174, 1999.
- [2] B. Farkas, M. Matolcsi, P. Móra. On Fuglede's conjecture and the existence of universal spectra. *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, **12**(5), 483–494, 2006.
- [3] B. Fuglede. Commuting self-adjoint partial differential operators and a group theoretic problem. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **16**(1), 101–121, 1974.
- [4] M.N. Kolountzakis, M. Matolcsi: Complex Hadamard matrices and the spectral set conjecture, *Collect. Math.*, Vol. Extra, 281–291, (2006).
- [5] I. Laba. The spectral set conjecture and multiplicative properties of roots of polynomials. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, **65**(3), 661–671, 2002.
- [6] M. Matolcsi. Fuglede's conjecture fails in dimension 4. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **133**(10), 3021–3026, 2005.
- [7] G. Kiss, D. R. Malikiosis, G. Somlai, M. Vizer
- [8] M. N. Kolountzakis, M. Matolcsi. Complex Hadamard matrices and the spectral set conjecture. *Collectanea Mathematica*, Vol. Extra, 281–291, 2006.
- [9] M. N. Kolountzakis, M. Matolcsi. Tiles with no spectra. *Forum Mathematicum* **18**(3), 519–528, 2006.
- [10] C-K Lai, Y. Wang, Spectrum is rational in dimension one, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.02794>.
- [11] N. Lev, M. Matolcsi, The Fuglede conjecture for convex domains is true in all dimensions, 2019, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1904.12262>.

- [12] R. D. Malikiosis, M. N. Kolountzakis. Fuglede's conjecture on cyclic groups of order  $p^nq$ . *Discrete Analysis*, 2017:12, 16pp.
- [13] R. Shi, Fuglede's conjecture holds on cyclic groups, arXiv:1805.11261.
- [14] T. Tao. Fuglede's conjecture is false in 5 and higher dimensions. *Mathematical Research Letters*, **11**(2), 251–258, 2004.