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#### Abstract

For every prime $p>3$ and for every prime $q>p^{3}$ we prove that $\mathbb{Z}_{q} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$ is a DCI-group.


## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite group and $S$ a subset of $G$. The Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ is defined by having the vertex set $G$ and $g$ is adjacent to $h$ if and only if $g h^{-1} \in S$. The set $S$ is called the connection set of the Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$. A Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ is undirected if and only if $S=S^{-1}$, where $S^{-1}=\left\{s^{-1} \in G \mid s \in S\right\}$. Every right multiplication via elements of $G$ is an automorphism of $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$, so the automorphism group of every Cayley graph on $G$ contains a regular subgroup isomorphic to $G$. Moreover, this property characterises the Cayley graphs of $G$.

It is clear that automorphism $\mu$ of the group $G$ induces an isomorphism between $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ and $\operatorname{Cay}\left(G, S^{\mu}\right)$. Such an isomorphism is called a Cayley isomorphism. A Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ is said to be a CI-graph if, for each $T \subset G$, the Cayley graphs $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ and $\operatorname{Cay}(G, T)$ are isomorphic if and only if there is an automorphism $\mu$ of $G$ such that $S^{\mu}=T$. Furthermore, a group $G$ is called a DCI-group if every Cayley graph of $G$ is a CI-graph and it is called a CI-group if every undirected Cayley graph of $G$ is a CI-graph.

The problem of investigating the isomorphism problem of Cayley graphs started with Ádám's conjecture [1], which states that every circulant graph if a CI-graph. Using our terminology, it was conjectured that every cyclic group is a DCI-group. This conjecture was first disproved by Elspas and Turner [8] for directed Cayley graphs of $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ and for undirected graphs of Cayley graphs of $\mathbb{Z}_{16}$.

[^0]By investigating the spectrum of circulant graph Elspas and Turner [8], and independently Djoković [6] proved that every cyclic group of order $p$ is a CIgroup if $p$ is a prime. Also a lot of research was devoted to the investigation of circulant graphs. One of the most important results for our investigation is that $\mathbb{Z}_{p q}$ is a DCI-group for every pair of primes $p<q$. This result was first proved by Alspach and Parsons [2] and later by Pöschel and Klin [11] using Schur rings, and by Godsil [9]. Finally, Muzychuk [14, 15] proved that a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is a DCI-group if and only if $n=k$ or $n=2 k$, where $k$ is square-free. Furthermore, $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is a CI-group if and only if $n$ is as above or $n=8,9,18$.

It is easy to see that every subgroup of a (D)CI-group is also a (D)CI-group so it is natural to investigate $p$-groups which are the Sylow $p$-subgroups of a finite group. Babai and Frankl [5] proved that if $G$ is a $p$-group, which is a CIgroup, then $G$ can only be elementary abelian $p$-group, the quaternion group of order 8 or one of a few cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}_{4}, \mathbb{Z}_{8}, \mathbb{Z}_{9}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{27}$. Muzychuk's result about cyclic groups shows that $\mathbb{Z}_{27}$ is not a CI-group and $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ is not a DCI-group. They also asked whether every elementary abelian $p$-group is a CI-group.

The cyclic group of order $p$, which is a CI-group, can also be considered as an elementary abelian $p$-group of rank 1 . The best general result was given by Hirasaka and Muzychuk [10] who proved that $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{4}$ is a CI-group for every prime $p$. For our investigation the following weaker results are also important. Dobson [7] proved that $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$ is a CI-group for every prime $p$ and Alspach and Nowitz shoved [3] that $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$ is a CI-group with respect to Cayles color digraphs. However Muzychuk [16] showed that an elementary abelian $p$-group of $2 p-1+\binom{2 p-1}{p}$ rank is not a CI-group.

Severe restriction on the structure of CI-groups was given by Li and Praeger and then a more precise list of candidates for CI-groups was given by $\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{Lu}$ and Pálfy [13].

New family of CI-groups was found by Kovács and Muzychuk [12], that is, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{2}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ is a CI-group for every prime $p$ and $q$. It was also conjectured in [12], that the direct product of CI-groups of coprime order is a CI-group.

Theorem 1. For every prime $p$ and every prime $q>p^{3}$ the group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{3}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ is a DCI-group.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the notation that will be used throughout this paper. In Section 3 we collect important ideas that we will use in the proof of Theorem 1. Finally, Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem 1 .

## 2 Technical details

In this section we introduce some notation. Let $G$ be a group. We use $H \leq G$ to denote that $H$ is a subgroup of $G$ and by $N_{G}(H)$ and $C_{G}(H)$ we denote the normalizer and the centralizer of $H$ in $G$, respectively. The center of a group $G$ will be denoted by $Z(G)$.

Let us assume that the group $H$ acts on the set $\Omega$ and let $G$ be an arbitrary group. Then by $G z_{\Omega} H$ we denote the wreath product of $G$ and $H$. Every element $g \in G \imath_{\Omega} H$ can be uniquely written as $h k$, where $k \in K=G^{\Omega}$ and $h \in H$. The group $K=G^{\Omega}$ is called the base group of $G z_{\Omega} H$ and the elements of $K$ can be treated as functions from $\Omega$ to $G$. If $g \in G \imath_{\Omega} H$ and $g=h k$ we denote $k$ by $(g)_{b}$. In order to simplify the notation $\Omega$ will be omitted if it is clear from the definition of $H$ and we will write $G \imath H$.

The symmetric group on the set $\Omega$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$. Let $G$ be a permutation group on the set $\Omega$. For a $G$-invariant partition $\mathcal{B}$ of the set $\Omega$ we use $G^{\mathcal{B}}$ to denote the permutation group on $\mathcal{B}$ induced by the action of $G$ and similarly, for every $g \in G$ we denote by $g^{\mathcal{B}}$ the action of $g$ on the partition $\mathcal{B}$.

For a group $G$, let $\hat{G}$ denote the subgroup of the symmetric group $\operatorname{Sym}(G)$ formed by the elements of $G$ acting by right multiplication on $G$. For every Cayley graph $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ the subgroup $G$ of $\operatorname{Sym}(G)$ is contained in $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

Definition 1. Let $G \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$ be a permutation group. Let

$$
G^{(2)}=\left\{\left.\pi \in \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)\right|^{\left.\forall a, b \in \Omega \exists g_{a, b} \in G \text { with } \begin{array}{c}
\pi(a)=g_{a, b}(a) \text { and } \\
\pi(b)=g_{a, b}(b)
\end{array}\right\} . ~ . ~}\right.
$$

We say that $G^{(2)}$ is the 2-closure of the permutation group $G$.
Lemma 1. Let $\Gamma$ be a graph. If $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$, then $G^{(2)} \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

## 3 Basic ideas

In this section we collect some results and some important ideas that we will use in the proof of Theorem 1.

We begin with a fundamental lemma that we will use all along this paper.
Lemma 2 (Babai [4]). Cay $(G, S)$ is a CI-graph if and only if for every regular subgroup $\dot{G}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Cay}(G, S))$ isomorphic to $G$ there is a $\mu \in \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Cay}(G, S))$ such that $\dot{G}^{\mu}=\hat{G}$.

We introduce the following definition.
Definition 2. (a) We say that a Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ is a $C I^{(2)}$-graph if and only if for every regular subgroup $G$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Cay}(G, S))$ isomorphic to $G$ there is a $\sigma \in\langle\dot{G}, \hat{G}\rangle^{(2)}$ such that $\dot{G}^{\sigma}=\hat{G}$.
(b) A group $G$ is called a $D C I^{(2)}$-group if for every $S \subset G$ the Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ is a $C I^{(2)}$-graph.

Definition 3. Let $\Gamma$ be an arbitrary graph and $A, B \subset V(\Gamma)$ such that $A \cap B=\emptyset$. We write $A \sim B$ if one of the following four possibilities holds:
(a) For every $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ there is an edge from $a$ to $b$ but there is no edge from $b$ to $a$.
(b) For every $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ there is an edge from $b$ to $a$ but there is no edge from a to $b$.
(c) For every $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ the vertices $a$ and $b$ are connected with an undirected edge.
(d) There is no edge between $A$ and $B$.

We also write $A \nsim B$ if none of the previous four possibilities holds.
Lemma 3. Let $A, B$ be two disjoint subsets of cardinality $p$ of a graph. We write $A \cup B=\mathbb{Z}_{p} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Let us assume that $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}$ acts naturally on $A \cup B$ and for a generator $\stackrel{\circ}{g}$ of the cyclic group $\dot{Z}_{p}$ the action of $\stackrel{\circ}{a}$ is defined by $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \stackrel{\circ}{g}=$ $\left(a_{1}+b, a_{2}+c\right)$ for some $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
(a) If $b=c$, then the action of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}$ and $\dot{Z}_{p}$ on $A \cup B$ are the same.
(b) If $A \nsim B$, then $b=c$.
(c) If $A \sim B$, then every $\pi \in \operatorname{Sym}(A \cup B)$ which fixes $A$ and $B$ setwise is an automorphism of the graph defined on $A \cup B$ if $\pi \upharpoonright A \in A u t(A)$ and $\pi \upharpoonright B \in \operatorname{Aut}(B)$.

Proof. These statements are obvious.
Lemma 4. Let us assume that $H$ is a regular abelian subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}\left(p^{n}\right)$ and let $P \geq H$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of Sym $\left(p^{n}\right)$. Then $H$ contains $Z(P)$.

Proof. It is well known that the center of $P$ is a cyclic $p$-group. Let $z$ be a generator of $Z(P)$. Then $\langle H, z\rangle$ is a transitive abelian group. Hence $\langle H, z\rangle$ is regular. Since $H$ is also regular, we have that $z$ has to be in $H$.

## 4 Main result

In this section we will prove that $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ is a DCI-group if $q>p^{3}$ and $p>3$.
Our technique is based on Lemma 2 so we fix a Cayley graph $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cay}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3} \times\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{q}, S\right)$. Let $A=A u t(\Gamma)$ and $\dot{G}=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3} \times \stackrel{\overparen{Z}}{q}$ be a regular subgroup of $A$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q}$. In order to prove Theorem 1 we have to find an $\alpha \in A$ such that $\dot{G}^{\alpha}=\hat{G}=\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3} \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{q}$, what we will achieve in three steps.

### 4.1 Step 1

We may assume $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{q}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbb{Z}}_{q}$ lie in the same Sylow $q$-subgroup $Q$ of $\operatorname{Sym}\left(p^{3} q\right)$. Then both $\dot{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ are subgroups of $N_{S y m\left(p^{3} q\right)}(Q) \cap A$ so we may assume that $\mathscr{Z}_{p}^{3}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ lie in the same Sylow $p$-subgroup of $N_{\operatorname{Sym}\left(p^{3} q\right)}(Q) \cap A$ which is contained in a Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$ of $A$.

The Sylow $q$-subgroup $Q$ gives a partition $\mathcal{B}=\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{p^{3}}\right\}$ of the vertices of $\Gamma$, where $\left|B_{i}\right|=q$ for every $i=1, \ldots, p^{3}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{B}$ is
invariant under the action of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ and hence $\langle\hat{G}, \stackrel{\circ}{G}\rangle \leq \operatorname{Sym}(q)$ ) Sym $\left(p^{3}\right)$. Moreover, both $\dot{G}$ and $\hat{G}$ are regular so $\mathscr{Z}_{p}^{3}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ induce regular action on $\mathcal{B}$ which we denote by $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively. The assumption that $\mathscr{Z}_{p}^{3}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ lie in the same Sylow $p$-subgroup of $A$ implies that $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are in the same Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}\left(p^{3}\right)$, what we denote by $P_{1}$.

### 4.2 Step 2

Let us assume that $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{q} \neq \stackrel{\overparen{Z}}{q}^{q}$ which is generated by $p^{3}$ disjoint $q$-cycles. We intend to find an element $\alpha \in A$ such that $\check{\mathbb{Z}}_{q}^{\alpha}=\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{q}$.

We define a graph $\Gamma_{0}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ such that $B_{i}$ is connected to $B_{j}$ if and only if $B_{i} \nsim B_{j}$. This is an undirected graph with vertex set $\mathcal{B}$ and both $\stackrel{\mathbb{Z}}{p}_{3}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ are regular subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$. It follows that $\Gamma_{0}$ is a Cayley graph of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$.

Definition 4. (a) For a pair $\left(B_{i}, B_{j}\right) \in \mathcal{B}^{2}$ we write $B_{i} \equiv B_{j}$ if either there exists a path $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{n}$ in $\Gamma_{0}$ such that $C_{1}=B_{1}, C_{n}=B_{2}$ or $i=j$.
(b) For a pair $\left(B_{i}, B_{j}\right) \in \mathcal{B}^{2}$ we write $B_{i} \not \equiv B_{j}$ if $B_{i} \equiv B_{j}$ does not hold.
(c) If both $H$ and $K$ are subsets of the vertices of $\Gamma_{0}$ such that $H \cap K=\emptyset$ and for every $B_{i} \in H, B_{j} \in K$ we have $B_{i} \not \equiv B_{j}$, then we write $H \not \equiv K$.

Observation 1. (a) The relation $\equiv$ defines an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{B}$. The connected components of $\Gamma_{0}$ will be called equivalence classes.
(b) Since $H_{1}$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{B}$ we have that the size of the equivalence classes defined by the relation $\equiv$ divides $p^{3}$.

We can also define a colored graph $\Gamma_{1}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ by coloring the edges of the complete directed graph on $p^{3}$ points. $B_{i}$ is connected to $B_{j}$ with the same color as $B_{i}^{\prime}$ is connected to $B_{j}^{\prime}$ in $\Gamma_{1}$ if and only if there exists a graph isomorphism $\phi$ from $B_{i} \cup B_{j}$ to $B_{i}^{\prime} \cup B_{j}^{\prime}$ such that $\phi\left(B_{i}\right)=B_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\phi\left(B_{j}\right)=B_{j}^{\prime}$. The graph $\Gamma_{1}$ is a colored Cayley graph of the elementary abelian $p$-group $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$. Moreover, both $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ act regularly on $\Gamma_{1}$.

We prove the following two lemmas what we will use several times in this step.

Lemma 5. Let us assume that $C_{1}^{\prime}, C_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots C_{k}^{\prime}$ are the equivalence classes defined in $V\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ and let $C_{i}=\cup C_{i}^{\prime} \subset V(\Gamma)$ for every $i=1, \ldots, k$. Let $\alpha$ be a permutation on the vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$ the restriction $\alpha \upharpoonright C_{i}=\eta_{i} \upharpoonright C_{i}$ for some $\eta_{i} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and $\alpha^{V\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)}$ is an automorphism of $\Gamma_{0}$. Then $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $\Gamma$.

Proof. Let $x$ and $y$ be points in $V(\Gamma)$. We have to prove that $x$ is connected to $y$ if and only if $\alpha(x)$ is connected to $\alpha(y)$. This holds if $x$ and $y$ are in the same $C_{i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$ since $\alpha \upharpoonright C_{i}$ is defined by an automorphism of $\Gamma$ on $C_{i}$. If $x \in B_{m}$ and $y \in B_{n}$, where $B_{m} \sim B_{n}$ and $x$ is connected to $y$, then every element of $B_{m}$ is connected to every element of $B_{n}$. Since $\alpha^{V\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ the
same holds for $\alpha\left(B_{m}\right)$ and $\alpha\left(B_{n}\right)$ and hence $\alpha(x)$ is connected to $\alpha(y)$. Similar argument shows that if $x \in B_{m}$ and $y \in B_{n}$, where $B_{m} \sim B_{n}$ and $x$ is not connected to $y$, then $\alpha(x)$ is not connected to $\alpha(y)$.

Lemma 6. (a) Let $A$ and $B$ be two disjoint subsets of cardinality $q$ of $V(\Gamma)$. We write $\left.A=\left\{(a, x) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}\right)\right\}$ and $B=\left\{(b, x) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}\right\}$. Let us assume that $\hat{g}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{g}$ are automorphisms of the graph $\Gamma$ with $\hat{g}(a, x)=\stackrel{\circ}{g}(a, x)=$ $(a, x+1), \hat{g}(b, x)=(b, x+1)$ and $\stackrel{g}{g}(b, x)=(b, x+d)$ for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$. Furthermore, let us assume that $\hat{w}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{w}$ are automorphisms of the graph $\Gamma$ with $\hat{w}(A)=\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}(A)=B$ and $\hat{w}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{w}$ commute with $\hat{g}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{g}$, respectively. Then for $\alpha=\stackrel{\omega}{w} \hat{w}^{-1}$ we have $\stackrel{\circ}{g}^{\alpha} \upharpoonright_{B}=\hat{g} \upharpoonright_{B}$.
(b) Let us assume that $C=\left\{(c, x) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}\right\}$ is a subset of $V(\Gamma)$ with $A \cap B=$ $A \cap C=\emptyset$. We also assume that $\hat{g}(c, x)=(c, x+1)$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{g}(c, x)=(c, x+d)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$. Let us assume that $\dot{v} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ with $\dot{v}(A)=C$ and we also assume that $\stackrel{\circ}{g}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{v}$ commute. Then for $\beta=\stackrel{\circ}{v} \hat{w}^{-1}$ we have $\stackrel{\circ}{g}^{\beta} \upharpoonright_{B}=\hat{g} \upharpoonright_{B}$.

Proof. (a) Let us assume that $\hat{w}(a, 0)=\left(b, b_{0}\right)$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{w}(a, 0)=\left(b, b_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ for some $b_{0}, b_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$. Using that $\hat{w}$ and $\hat{g}$ commute we get that $\hat{w}(a, x)=\left(b, b_{0}+x\right)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ and similarly we have $\check{w}(a, x)=\left(b, b_{0}^{\prime}+d x\right)$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(b, x) & =\alpha\left(b, b_{0}+\left(x-b_{0}\right)\right)=\stackrel{\infty}{ }\left(a, x-b_{0}\right)=\left(b, b_{0}^{\prime}+\left(x-b_{0}\right) d\right) \\
& =\left(b,\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-d b_{0}\right)+d x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to derive that $\alpha^{-1}(b, x)=\left(b, \frac{x-\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-d b_{0}\right)}{d}\right)$. Using the previous two equations we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{-1} \stackrel{\circ}{g} \alpha \upharpoonright_{B}(b, x)=\alpha^{-1} \stackrel{\circ}{g}\left(b,\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-d b_{0}\right)+d x\right)=\alpha^{-1}\left(b,\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-d b_{0}\right)+d x+d\right) \\
& =\left(b, \frac{\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-d b_{0}\right)+d x+d-\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-d b_{0}\right)}{d}\right)=(b, x+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Let us assume that $\dot{v}(a, 0)=\left(c, c_{0}\right)$ for some $c_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$. Then $\stackrel{\circ}{v}(a, x)=$ $\left(c, c_{0}+d x\right)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(b, x) & =\stackrel{\circ}{v} \hat{w}^{-1}\left(b, b_{0}+\left(x-b_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\stackrel{\vee}{v}\left(a, x-b_{0}\right)=\left(c, c_{0}+\left(x-b_{0}\right) d\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\beta^{-1}(c, x)=\left(b, \frac{x-c_{0}+b_{0} d}{d}\right)$. Similarly to the previous case we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^{-1} \stackrel{g}{g} \beta(b, x) & =\beta^{-1} \stackrel{\circ}{g}\left(c, c_{0}+\left(x-b_{0}\right) d\right)=\beta^{-1}\left(c, c_{0}+\left(x-b_{0}\right) d+d\right) \\
& =\left(b, \frac{c_{0}+\left(x-b_{0}\right) d+d-c_{0}+b_{0} d}{d}\right)=(b, x+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

The points of the graph $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ can be identified with the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$ and we may assume that the action of an element $r$ of the Sylow $p$-subgroup $P_{1}$ is the following:

$$
r(a, b, c)=\left(a+x, b+s_{a}, c+t_{a, b}\right)
$$

where $s_{a}$ only depends on $a$ and $t_{a, b}$ depends on $a$ and $b$.
Let $\hat{g}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{g}$ denote the generator of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{q}$ and $\stackrel{\mathbb{Z}}{q}$, respectively. We may assume that $\hat{g} \upharpoonright B_{1}=\stackrel{\circ}{g} \upharpoonright B_{1}$.
(a) Let us assume first that $\Gamma_{0}$ is a connected graph.

Using Lemma 3 (b) we get that $\hat{g} \upharpoonright B_{i}=\stackrel{\circ}{g} \upharpoonright B_{j}$ if there exists a path in $\Gamma_{0}$ from $B_{i}$ to $B_{j}$. This shows that $\hat{g}=\stackrel{\circ}{g}$ since $\Gamma_{0}$ is connected in this case.
(b) Let us assume that $\Gamma_{0}$ is the empty graph.

For every $B_{m} \in \mathcal{B}$ there exist $\hat{r}_{m}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{r}_{m}$ such that $\hat{r}_{m}\left(B_{1}\right)=\stackrel{\circ}{r}_{m}\left(B_{1}\right)=$ $B_{m}$.
Let $\alpha$ be defined as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha \upharpoonright B_{1} & =i d  \tag{1}\\
\alpha \upharpoonright B_{m} & =\stackrel{\circ}{r}_{m} \hat{r}_{m}^{-1} \text { for } 2 \leq m \leq p^{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\alpha^{\mathcal{B}}=i d$ so using Lemma 5 we get that $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $\Gamma$. Using Lemma 6 (a) we get that $\stackrel{\circ}{g}^{\alpha}=\hat{g}$.
(c) Let us assume that the size of the connected components of $\Gamma_{0}$ is $p$.

Let $C_{1}^{\prime}, C_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, C_{p^{2}}^{\prime}$ denote the equivalence classes defined by the relation $\equiv$ on $\Gamma_{0}$ and for $1 \leq m \leq p^{2}$ let $C_{m}=\cup C_{m}^{\prime}$. For $C_{2}, \ldots, C_{p^{2}}$ we choose an element $\hat{u}_{m}$ of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ such that $\hat{u}_{m}\left(C_{1}\right)=C_{m}$. We may assume that $B_{1} \subset C_{1}$. Since $H_{2}$ is regular on $\Gamma_{0}$, for every $2 \leq m \leq p^{2}$ there exists $\AA_{m}$ such that $\stackrel{\circ}{u}_{m}\left(B_{1}\right)=\hat{u}_{m}\left(B_{1}\right)$. For $2 \leq m \leq p^{2}$ let $\tilde{u}_{m}=\stackrel{\circ}{u}_{m} \hat{u}_{m}^{-1}$. Now we define the following permutation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1} \upharpoonright C_{1} & =i d \\
\alpha_{1} \upharpoonright C_{m} & =\tilde{u}_{m} \text { for } 2 \leq m \leq p^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, for $2 \leq m \leq p^{2}$ we have $\tilde{u}_{m}\left(B_{j}\right)=B_{j}$ for at least one $B_{j} \subset C_{m}$. Since $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are in the same Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}\left(p^{3}\right)$ the order of $\tilde{u}_{m}^{\mathcal{B}}$ is a power of $p$. We also have that $C_{m}$ is the union of $p$ elements of $\mathcal{B}$ for $1 \leq m \leq p^{2}$ hence $\alpha_{1}^{\mathcal{B}}=i d$. We also have that $\alpha_{1} \upharpoonright C_{m}$ is the restriction of an automorphism of the graph $\Gamma$ for $m=1, \ldots p$. Therefore by Lemma $5 \alpha_{1}$ is an automorphism of the graph $\Gamma$.
Finally, Lemma 6 (b) gives $\stackrel{\circ}{g}^{\alpha_{1}}=\hat{g}$.
(d) Let us assume that the size of the connected components of $\Gamma_{0}$ and hence the size of the equivalence classes is $p^{2}$. Let $D_{0}^{\prime}, D_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, D_{p-1}^{\prime}$ denote the equivalence classes and let $D_{m}=\cup D_{m}^{\prime}$ for $0 \leq m \leq p-1$.

Using Lemma 4 we get that $H_{1} \cap H_{2} \neq\{1\}$. Let $z$ be an element of order $p$ of $H_{1} \cap H_{2}$ and we denote by $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ the element of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ and $\stackrel{\mathbb{Z}}{p}_{3}^{3}$ such that $z_{1}^{\mathcal{B}}=z_{2}^{\mathcal{B}}=z$, respectively. Then $\left(z_{2}^{-i} z_{1}^{i}\right)^{\mathcal{B}}=i d$ for $i=1, \ldots, p-1$.
Let us assume first that $z_{1}\left(D_{0}\right) \neq D_{0}$. We may assume that $z_{1}^{i}\left(D_{0}\right)=D_{i}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, p-1$. We define $\alpha_{2}$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{2} \upharpoonright D_{0}=i d \\
& \alpha_{2} \upharpoonright D_{i}=z_{2}^{i} z_{1}^{-i} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq p-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $z_{1}^{\mathcal{B}}=z_{2}^{\mathcal{B}}=z$ we have $\alpha_{2}^{\mathcal{B}}=i d$. Using Lemma 5 again we get that $\alpha_{2} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and Lemma 6 gives $\stackrel{\circ}{g}^{\alpha_{2}}=\hat{g}$.
Therefore we may assume that $z_{1}\left(D_{0}\right)=D_{0}$. In this case the orbits of $z$ give a $\left\langle H_{1}, H_{2}\right\rangle$-invariant partition $\mathcal{E}=\left\{E_{a, b} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{B}$. Using that the elements of $\mathcal{B}=V\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ can be identified with elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$ we may assume that $E_{a, b}$ has the following form for every pair $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}$ :

$$
E_{a, b}=\left\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3} \mid c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right\} .
$$

We may also assume that $D_{a}^{\prime}=\cup_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}} E_{a, b}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
Since $H_{1}$ acts regularly on $\Gamma_{0}$, there exists $h_{1} \in H_{1}$ such that $h_{1}\left(E_{0,0}\right)=$ $E_{0,1}$. Since $H_{2}$ is also regular, there exists $h_{2} \in H_{2}$ such that $h_{2}\left(E_{0,0}\right)=$ $h_{1}\left(E_{0,0}\right)$. Since the order of $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ are $p$ and $h_{1}\left(D_{0}^{\prime}\right)=h_{2}\left(D_{0}^{\prime}\right)=D_{0}^{\prime}$ we have that $h_{1}\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)=h_{2}\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)=D_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i=0, \ldots, p-1$.
We may assume that $z, h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ act in the following way on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
z(a, b, c) & =(a, b, c+1) \\
h_{1}(a, b, c) & =(a, b+1, c) \\
h_{2}(a, b, c) & =\left(a, b+s_{a}, c+t_{a, b}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The assumption that $h_{1}\left(E_{0,0}\right)=h_{2}\left(E_{0,0}\right)=E_{0,1}$ gives that $s_{0}=1$.
We claim that $s_{a}=1$ for $1 \leq a \leq p-1$. Since $H_{2}$ is regular on $\Gamma_{0}$ there exists $k_{2} \in H_{2}$ such that $k_{2}(0,0,0)=(a, 0,0)$. Since $h_{2}$ and $k_{2}$ commute we have that $k_{2}(0, i, 0)=\left(a, s_{a} i, w_{i}\right)$ for some $w_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. If $s_{a} \neq 1$, then such an element cannot be in the Sylow $p$-subgroup $P_{1}$.
Therefore $h_{2}(a, b, c)=\left(a, b+1, c+t_{a, b}\right)$ for all $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$, where $t_{a, b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ only depends on $a$ and $b$.
Lemma 7. Let $a \neq a^{\prime}$ be elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and we fix two more elements $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Then either $E_{a, b} \sim E_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}}$ or $t_{a, b+n}=t_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}+n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Proof. For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ the permutation $h_{2}^{m} h_{1}^{-m}$ fixes $E_{a, b}$ and $E_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{2}^{m} h_{1}^{-m}(a, b, c) & =\left(a, b, c+\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{a, b-i}\right) \text { and }  \tag{2}\\
h_{2}^{m} h_{1}^{-m}\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c\right) & =\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c+\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}-i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

One can see using Lemma 3 (b) that if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{a, b-i} \neq \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}-i}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, then $E_{a, b} \sim E_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{a, b-i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}-i}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, then $t_{a, b+n}=t_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}+n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

For each $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ we define the following function from $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ :

$$
t_{a}^{\prime}(b):=t_{a, b}^{\prime}
$$

Lemma 8. Let us assume that $t_{a}(b+n)=t_{a}^{\prime}\left(b^{\prime}+n\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and we denote by $k_{2}$ the unique element of $H_{2}$ which maps $(a, b, 0)$ to $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, 0\right)$. Then $k_{2}(a, b+d, e)=\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}+d, e\right)$ for all $d, e \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

Proof. Since $k_{2}$ and $z$ commute we have $k_{2}(a, b, m)=\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, m\right)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. We also have that $k_{2}$ and $h_{2}$ commute which gives $k_{2}(a, b+d, e)=$ $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}+d, e\right)$ for all $d, e \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

Corollary 1. If the conditions of Lemma 8 hold and $k_{1}$ is the unique element of $H_{1}$ such that $k_{1}(a, b, 0)=\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, 0\right)$, then $k_{1} \upharpoonright_{E_{a, b}}=k_{2} \upharpoonright_{E_{a, b}}$.

We define an equivalence relation on the set $\left\{D_{0}^{\prime}, D_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, D_{p-1}^{\prime}\right\}$. We write $D_{a}^{\prime} \doteq D_{a^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ if and only if there exist $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that $t_{a, b+n}=t_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}+n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
Now we can choose a point $\left(a, b_{a}, 0\right)$ in every $D_{a}^{\prime}$ such that if $D_{a} \doteq D_{a^{\prime}}$, then $t_{a, b_{a}+n}=t_{a^{\prime}, b_{a^{\prime}+n}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. For every $1 \leq a \leq p-1$ there exist $\hat{v}_{a} \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{v}_{a} \in \stackrel{\mathbb{Z}}{p}_{3}^{3}$ such that $\hat{v}_{a}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(0, b_{0}, 0\right)=\dot{\circ}_{a}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(0, b_{0}, 0\right)=\left(a, b_{a}, 0\right)$ since both $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are regular.
Now we can define the following permutation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{3} \upharpoonright_{D_{0}}=i d \\
& \alpha_{3} \upharpoonright_{D_{a}}=\stackrel{\circ}{v}_{a} \hat{v}_{a}^{-1} \text { for } 1 \leq a \leq p-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 9. $\alpha_{3}$ is an automorphism of $\Gamma$.
Proof. We prove that $\alpha_{3}^{\mathcal{B}}$ is an automorphism of the graph $\Gamma_{1}$. If $B_{i} \cup B_{j}$ is contained in $D_{a}^{\prime}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, then $\alpha_{3}$ is defined by the restriction of an automorphism of $\Gamma$. Therefore we only have to investigate those pairs $B_{i}, B_{j}$ of points which are not in the same set $D_{a}^{\prime}$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
Let us assume that $B_{i} \in E_{a, b}$ and $B_{j} \in E_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}}$. By the definition of $\alpha_{3}$, for every $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ at least one $E_{c, d}$ is fixed by $\alpha_{3}^{\mathcal{B}}$. Therefore $\alpha_{3}^{\mathcal{B}}$ fixes every set $E_{c, d}$ since the order of $\alpha_{3}^{\mathcal{B}} \upharpoonright_{D_{c}^{\prime}}$ is a power of $p$ for every $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
Let us assume first that $D_{a} \nsim D_{a}^{\prime}$. Lemma 7 gives that $B_{i}$ is connected to $B_{j}$ if and only if $\alpha_{3}^{\prime}\left(B_{i}\right)$ is connected to $\alpha_{3}^{\prime}\left(B_{j}\right)$ since $E_{a, b} \sim E_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}}$.
Let us now assume that $D_{a}^{\prime} \sim D_{a^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. We denote by the pair $\left({ }_{v}{ }_{a} \hat{v}_{a}^{-1}, \stackrel{\circ}{v}_{a} \hat{v}_{a}^{-1}\right)$ the restriction of the action of $\alpha_{3}$ to $D_{a}^{\prime} \cup D_{a^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. Since $\stackrel{\circ}{v}_{a}$ and $\hat{v}_{a}^{-1}$ are automorphisms of $\Gamma$ the pair $\left(\left({ }_{v}^{a} \hat{v}_{a}^{-1}\right)^{\mathcal{B}},\left({\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{a^{\prime}}}^{\prime} \hat{v}_{a^{\prime}}^{-1}\right)^{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ is an automorphism of
the induced subgraph on $D_{a}^{\prime} \cup D_{a^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ if and only $\left(i d^{\mathcal{B}},\left(\stackrel{\circ}{v}_{a}^{-1}{\stackrel{\circ}{a^{\prime}}}^{\prime} \hat{v}_{a^{\prime}}^{-1} \hat{v}_{a}\right)^{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ is. Since both $\stackrel{\circ}{Z}_{p}^{3}$ and $\hat{Z}_{p}^{3}$ are abelian we have

$$
\left(i d^{\mathcal{B}},\left(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v}_{a}^{-1}{\stackrel{\circ}{a^{\prime}}}^{\hat{v}_{a^{\prime}}}-1 \hat{v}_{a}\right)^{\mathcal{B}}\right)=\left(i d^{\mathcal{B}},\left(\dot{v}_{a^{\prime}} \stackrel{\circ}{v}_{a}^{-1}\right)^{\mathcal{B}}\left(\hat{v}_{a} \hat{v}_{a^{\prime}}^{-1}\right)^{\mathcal{B}}\right) .
$$

Clearly, $\left(\hat{v}_{a} \hat{v}_{a^{\prime}}^{-1}\right)^{\mathcal{B}}\left(a^{\prime}, b_{a^{\prime}}, 0\right)=\left(a, b_{a}, 0\right)$ and $\left({\stackrel{\circ}{a^{\prime}}}^{\prime} \stackrel{\circ}{a}_{a}^{-1}\right)^{\mathcal{B}}\left(a, b_{a}, 0\right)=\left(a^{\prime}, b_{a^{\prime}}, 0\right)$. Using Corollary 1 we get that

$$
\left(i d^{\mathcal{B}},\left(\dot{v}_{a^{\prime}} \stackrel{\circ}{a}_{a}^{-1}\right)^{\mathcal{B}}\left(\hat{v}_{a} \hat{v}_{a^{\prime}}^{-1}\right)^{\mathcal{B}}\right)=\left(i d^{\mathcal{B}}, i d^{\mathcal{B}}\right)
$$

which is clearly an automorphism on $D_{a}^{\prime} \cup D_{a^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. This proves that $\alpha_{3}^{\mathcal{B}} \in$ Aut $\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$.
If $B_{i} \sim B_{j}$, then $\alpha_{3}\left(B_{i}\right) \sim \alpha_{3}\left(B_{j}\right)$ since $\alpha_{3}^{\mathcal{B}} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ thus $p_{i} \in B_{i}$ is connected to $p_{j} \in B_{j}$ if and only if $\alpha_{3}\left(p_{i}\right)$ is connected to $\alpha_{3}\left(p_{j}\right)$.
If $B_{i} \nsim B_{j}$, then there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that $B_{i}$ and $B_{j} \subset D_{a}$. Since $\alpha_{3}$ is defined on $D_{a}$ by an automorphism of $\Gamma$ we have that $p_{i} \in B_{i}$ is connected to $p_{j} \in B_{j}$ if and only if $\alpha_{3}\left(p_{i}\right)$ is connected to $\alpha_{3}\left(p_{j}\right)$, finishing the proof of Lemma 9.

Finally, one can see using Lemma 6 (b) that $\stackrel{\circ}{g}^{\alpha_{3}}=\hat{g}$.

### 4.3 Step 3

Let us assume that for the generators of the cyclic groups $\hat{g} \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{q}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{g} \in \stackrel{\mathbb{Z}}{q}$ we have $\stackrel{\circ}{g}=\hat{g}$.
Since $\stackrel{\circ}{g}=\hat{g}$ we have that $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ and $\dot{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ are contained in $C_{A}(\hat{g})$. Using Sylow's theorem again we may assume that $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ and $\stackrel{\dddot{Z}}{p}_{3}^{3}$ are in the same Sylow $p$ subgroup of $C_{A}(\hat{g})$. Using all these assumptions we prove the following Lemma.
Lemma 10. (a) $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbb{Z}}_{q} \leq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{q} \backslash \operatorname{Sym}\left(p^{3}\right)$.
(b) If $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbb{Z}}_{q} \leq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{q} \backslash \operatorname{Sym}\left(p^{3}\right)$, then for every $\dot{u} \in \stackrel{\overparen{Z}}{p}_{3}^{3}$ we have $(\stackrel{\circ}{u})_{b}=i d$.

Proof. (a) $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3} \times \stackrel{\square}{\mathbb{Z}}_{q} \leq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{q}$ 亿Sym $\left(p^{3}\right)$ since the elements of $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ and $\hat{g}$ commute.
(b) Let $A^{\prime}=A \cap \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{q}$ Sym $\left(p^{3}\right)$. We have already assumed that $\stackrel{\mathbb{Z}}{p}_{3}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ lie in the same Sylow $p$-subgroup of $A^{\prime}$, which is generated by $p^{3}$ disjoint $q$-cycles. Let $\dot{u}$ be an arbitrary element of $\mathscr{\mathbb { Z }}_{p}^{3}$. For every $(b, s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ we have $\dot{u}(b, s)=(c, s+t)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$, where $t$ only depends on $\dot{u}$ and $b$ since $\dot{u} \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{q}$ 乙 Sym $\left(p^{3}\right)$. The permutation group $\hat{G}$ is transitive, hence there exist $\hat{u}_{1}, \hat{u}_{2} \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}$ such that $\hat{u}_{1}(0, s)=(b, s)$ and $\hat{u}_{2}(c, s+t)=(0, s+t)$. The order of $\hat{u}_{2} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{u} \hat{u}_{1}$ is a power of $p$ since $\hat{u}_{2}, \stackrel{\circ}{u}$ and $\hat{u}_{1}$ lie in a Sylow $p$-subgroup. Therefore $t=0$ and hence $(\stackrel{\imath}{u})_{b}=i d$.

Lemma 10 says that for every $\dot{u} \in \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ we have $(u)_{b}=i d$. We use again the graph $\Gamma_{1}$ defined on $\mathcal{B}$. It is clear that $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are regular subgroups in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ and they are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{3}$ is a $\mathrm{DCI}^{(2)}$-group [3] we have that there exists $\mu \in\left\langle H_{1}, H_{2}\right\rangle^{(2)}$ such that $H_{2}^{\mu}=H_{1}$.
Let $\eta=\mu i d_{\mathcal{B}}$ be an element of the wreath product $\mathbb{Z}_{q} \backslash \operatorname{Sym}\left(p^{3}\right)$. Clearly, $\eta \in\langle\hat{G}, \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbb{G}}\rangle{ }^{(2)}$ and hence $\eta$ is an automorphism of $\Gamma_{0}$, which conjugates $\grave{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$ to $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}^{3}$. Moreover, the base group part of $\eta$ is the identity so $\eta \in C_{A}(\hat{g})$. This proves that $\dot{G}^{\eta}=\hat{G}$, finishing the proof of Theorem 1 .
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